

Dr. Thomas Apelt President of the Court of Audit of the State of Brandenburg

Landesrechnungshof Brandenburg

"Quality in audit processes – Practical experiences"



EURORAI-Seminar "Quality in government audits" Vilnius, October 2012



Basic Questions

Landesrechnungshof Brandenburg

Why do we need to establish quality in audit processes?

What does "Quality in audit processes" mean?



General Framework

- International standards and guidelines
- Institutional framework set by regional constitution and regional law
- Establishing mechanisms to ensure quality with regard to audit procedures and audit findings



General Framework

Institutional framework to ensure audit quality

Collegiate model for decision-making
Judicial independence of the colleagues
Occupational skills of the colleagues
Right to be heard - a constitutional principle



General Framework

A programme to ensure quality and quality improvement:

The Audit Regulations of the Court of Audit of the State of Brandenburg



Quality Assurance - Topics

- Quality assurance during audit planning
- Quality assurance during audit preparation
- Quality assurance during audit conducting
- Quality assurance for reporting
- Review: Follow-up audit
- Ex Post Control \ Quality Assessment \ Self-Assessment



Quality Assurance during Audit Planning (1)

Auditing should be conducted timely.

In the length of time unaudited areas should not remain.

Audit topics should be chosen in consideration of the audit's expected results and possible prevention.

Article 7 Audit Regulations

Articles 13 and 23 Audit Regulations

Article 22 Audit Regulations



Quality Assurance during Audit Planning (2)

Audits should gear towards

areas of high financial importance,
important tasks and programmes,
essential issues of administration as in planning, implementation, execution, control and governing

-administrative high risk tasks.

Articles 22, 23 Audit Regulations



Quality Assurance during Audit Planning (3)

An annual work plan should be prepared for one year.

A medium term work plan should be prepared for the two years to follow, it should be adjusted every year. Article 25 Audit Regulations



Quality Assurance during Audit Planning (4)

Audit Planning = Planning of Training

EURORAI-Seminar "Quality in government audits" Vilnius, October 2012



Quality Assurance during Audit Preparation (1)

An audit concept should be drawn to prepare the audit.

The audit concept should define the main audit topics, the audit scope and the audit objective.

Article 30 Audit Regulations



Quality Assurance during Audit Preparation (2)

The audit concept should inform about

- -audit scope and audit tasks
- -audit methodology
- -the auditors involved and their res
 - pective assignments
- -the time frame.

Article 30 Audit Regulations



Quality Assurance during Audit Preparation (3)

The audit's time and effort should be reasonable with regard to the audit topic's importance and the expected audit outcome. Article 7 Audit Regulations



Quality Assurance during Audit Preparation (4)

Still missing: rules to examine audit risks and audit risk limitation.



Quality Assurance during Audit Performance (1)

The audit team leader participates in the audit performance, especially during field work.

Introductory discussion with the head of the audited entity before starting field work. Article 29 Audit Regulations



Quality Assurance during Audit Performance (2)

The relevant facts should be assessed completely and appropriately in accordance with the audit concept.

Findings should be discussed with the audited entity to eliminate misunder-standing.

Article 34 Audit Regulations



Quality Assurance during Audit Performance (3)

Relevant modification with respect to the audit concept should be discussed.

Closing conference at the end of field work.

Article 34 Audit Regulations

Article 37 Audit Regulations



Quality Assurance for Reporting (1)

Landesrechnungshof Brandenburg

The audit report should be drafted shortly after field work.

Audit report consists of

memo about the audit performancedraft of the audit letter

Article 38 Audit Regulations



Quality Assurance for Reporting (2)

The audit letter contains the facts, their Art critical acclaim, necessary conclusions Aut and recommendations how to correct mistakes.

The critical acclaim should disclose the criteria on which it is based.

Article 40 Audit Regulations



Quality Assurance for Reporting (3)

Landesrechnungshof Brandenburg

Political decisions are not valuated, only their prerequisites and effects.

Legal rights of third parties have to be respected.

Article 8 Audit Regulations

Article 11 Audit Regulations

EURORAI-Seminar "Quality in government audits" Vilnius, October 2012



Quality Assurance for Reporting (4)

Landesrechnungshof Brandenburg

The "College of Two" finalizes the audit letter.

The audited entity is requested to respond to the audit letter.

The further treatment of the findings depends on the comments of the audited entity.

Article 41 Audit Regulations



Completion of the Audit

The "College of Two" decides on ending of the audit.

Base: evaluation of audit objectives.

Article 43 Audit Regulations



Review: Follow-up Audit

Follow-up audits are not obligatory.

A review in general takes part during the next audit.

Article 20 Audit Regulations



Self-Supervision

Ex Post Control

Quality Assessment

Self-Assessment

Peer Review

EURORAI-Seminar "Quality in government audits" Vilnius, October 2012



What does the future hold?

EURORAI-Seminar "Quality in government audits" Vilnius, October 2012



Thank you for your Attention.

